

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter</u>		<u>Page</u>
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	The Organization of this Report	1-3
2	BACKGROUND.....	2-1
	2.1 Overview of the Study Design	2-2
	2.2 The Uniqueness of a Sentinel Approach	2-3
3	STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS	3-1
	3.1 Definitions	3-1
	Steps in Establishing Definitions	3-1
	Analysis of Current State Definitions.....	3-1
	Convening of Local Roundtables.....	3-2
	Consensus Meeting.	3-2
	Pilot Testing.....	3-2
	Definitions of Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation	3-2
	Physical Abuse.....	3-2
	Sexual Abuse	3-3
	Emotional or Psychological Abuse	3-3
	Neglect	3-3
	Abandonment.....	3-3
	Financial or Material Exploitation	3-3
	Self-Neglect	3-3
	3.2 Sampling Counties, Agencies, and Sentinels.....	3-7
	Sampling at the County Level.....	3-7
	Sampling Sentinel Agencies Within Counties	3-7
	Sampling Sentinels Within Agencies	3-12
	Evaluation of the Sample of Counties and the Estimates	3-12
	3.3 Instrument Development	3-14
	Pretesting Data Collection Instruments	3-14
	Institutional Review Board (IRB)	3-15
	3.4 APS Agency and Sentinel Agency Recruitment	3-15
	APS Recruitment.....	3-16
	Sentinel Agency Recruitment	3-16
	Selection of Sentinels Within Agencies	3-17
	APS and Sentinel Agency Followup Procedures	3-18
	Adult Protective Services and Sentinel Training	3-19

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Recruitment of Alternate Sentinel Agencies.....	3-21
	Sentinel Agency Refusals and Refusal Conversions.....	3-21
3.5	Data Collection	3-22
	APS Data Collection	3-22
	Sentinel Data Collection.....	3-23
	Site Visits to APS/Aging Agencies and Sentinel Agencies.....	3-23
	Certificates of Appreciation.....	3-25
	Special Procedures in San Diego, California.....	3-25
3.6	Data Receipt.....	3-25
	Keying	3-26
	Coding Data Forms	3-26
3.7	Unduplication.....	3-27
3.8	Participant Tracking	3-29
3.9	Weighting the Responses.....	3-29
	PSU Base Weights	3-29
	Weighting of APS Data.....	3-30
	Annualization.....	3-30
	Agency Weight (non-APS Agencies).....	3-30
	Agency Nonresponse Adjustments (Sentinel Agencies).....	3-31
	Sentinel Weights	3-31
	Sentinel Case-Level Weight	3-31
	Weight Trimming.....	3-34
3.10	Measuring Sampling Variability	3-36
3.11	Interpreting Results in the Presence of Sampling Variability	3-37

4 FINDINGS--INCIDENCE OF ABUSE AND NEGLECT OF THE ELDERLY

4.1	Comparison of Reported and Unreported Abuse and Neglect and Calculation of National Estimates of Abuse and Neglect.....	4-1
4.2	Abuse Reported by APS Agencies.....	4-4
	Outcomes of Investigations	4-4
	Substantiated Reports of Abuse by Others	4-7
	Reporters of Substantiated Abuse by Others	4-7
	Reporters of Substantiated Reports of Self-Neglect.....	4-8
	Three Most Frequent Reporters for Each Maltreatment Type	4-10
	Neglect	4-10
	Emotional/Psychological Abuse	4-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Financial/Material Exploitation	4-10
	Physical Abuse.....	4-11
	Abandonment.....	4-11
	Sexual Abuse	4-11
	Outcomes of Investigations for Different Types of Maltreatment	4-11
4.3	Characteristics of Elderly Victims, Reported to APS	4-13
	Ages of Elder Abuse Victims for Selected Types of Maltreatment	4-13
	Neglect	4-14
	Emotional/Psychological Abuse	4-14
	Physical Abuse.....	4-14
	Financial/Material Exploitation	4-14
	Abandonment.....	4-14
	Incomes of Elder Abuse Victims	4-15
	Neglect	4-15
	Emotional/Psychological Abuse	4-15
	Physical Abuse.....	4-16
	Financial/Material Exploitation	4-16
	Abandonment.....	4-16
	Sex of Elder Abuse Victims.....	4-16
	Race/Ethnicity of Elder Abuse Victims.....	4-17
	Physical and Mental Frailty	4-20
	Self Care Ability	4-20
	Confusion	4-20
	Depression	4-21
4.3.1	Characteristics of Perpetrators of Domestic Elder Abuse.....	4-22
	Sex of Perpetrators of Elder Abuse	4-22
	Ages of Perpetrators of Domestic Elder Abuse	4-23
	Race/Ethnicity of Perpetrators of Domestic Elder Abuse.....	4-26
	Relationship of Perpetrators to Victims of Domestic Elder Abuse	4-28
4.3.2	Characteristics of Self-Neglecting Elders.....	4-30
	Sex of Self-Neglecting Elders.....	4-30
	Age of Self-Neglecting Elders	4-31
	Race/Ethnicity of Self-Neglecting Elders.....	4-32
	Self Care Ability for Self-Neglecting Elders.....	4-32
	Confusion for Self-Neglecting Elders	4-33
	Depression for Self-Neglecting Elders.....	4-33
4.4	Abuse Reported By Sentinel Agencies.....	4-34
	Characteristics of Elderly Victims of Non-Reported Abuse and Neglect	4-34
	Age	4-34
	Race/Ethnicity.....	4-35
	Gender	4-36
	Income	4-37

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Physical and Mental Frailty	4-37
Self Care Ability	4-38
Confusion	4-38
Depression	4-39
Signs of Physical and Mental Frailty for Specific forms of Abuse and Neglect	4-39
Self Care Ability	4-40
Confusion	4-40
Depression	4-41
Characteristics of Perpetrators of Abuse and Neglect.....	4-42
5. CONCLUSIONS.....	5-1
Overview and “Iceberg” Theory.....	5-1
Summary of Findings.....	5-4
Limitations of NEAIS Research.....	5-6
Implications of NEAIS Findings	5-7
Future Research Questions and Issues	5-9
Conclusion	5-10

REFERENCES

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix

A	TECHNICAL BREAKDOWN OF STATE ELDER ABUSE DEFINITIONS
B	ROUNDTABLE OF ELDER ABUSE PROFESSIONALS
C	ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
D	SAMPLING PLAN
E	APS AND SENTINEL TRAINING MATERIALS
F	IRB/CERTIFICATE OF CONFIDENTIALITY
G	LOCATION OF APS PROGRAM OR AGENCY IN STATES
H	APS RECRUITMENT MATERIALS
I	SENTINEL RECRUITMENT MATERIALS
J	SITE VISIT INFORMATION
K	DATA COLLECTION CLOSURE
L	WEIGHTING PLAN
M	TABLES SHOWING STANDARD ERRORS

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
3-1 Signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect	3-4
3-2 Sampled counties for the national elder abuse incidence study.....	3-9
3-3 Sentinel agency allocation by agency type.....	3-11
3-4 NEAIS annualized national estimates from APS data in 20 counties by region compared to NCEA's Survey of Domestic Elder Abuse Reports (duplicated totals)	3-13
3-5 APS and sentinel agency pretest dates and number of forms received	3-15
3-6 Sentinel participation status, by agency type.....	3-18
3-7 Participation status, by agency type	3-22
3-8 APHSA site visits to APS agencies	3-24
3-9 Westat site visits to sentinel agencies	3-24
3-10 Aggregate sentinel case-level weights by PSU and agency type.....	3-32
3-11 Aggregate weights attached to sentinel forms after weight trimming.....	3-35
4-1 National incidence of abuse, neglect, and self-neglect of persons 60 years and older, 1996 (unduplicated)	4-2
4-2 Types of elder maltreatment substantiated by APS agencies	4-7
4-3 Reporters of substantiated abuse by others	4-8
4-4 Reporters of substantiated reports of self-neglect.....	4-9
4-5 Three most frequent reporters for each maltreatment type.....	4-10
4-6 Outcomes of investigations for different types of maltreatment.....	4-12
4-7 Incomes of elder abuse victims for selected types of maltreatment.....	4-15
4-8 Ability to Care for Self Physically (APS)	4-20
4-9 Confusion (APS).....	4-21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Table</u>	LIST OF TABLES (Continued)	<u>Page</u>
4-10	Depression (APS)	4-21
4-11	Sex of perpetrators of domestic elder abuse for selected types of maltreatment	4-23
4-12	Age of perpetrators of domestic elder abuse for selected types of maltreatment	4-24
4-13	Race/ethnicity of perpetrators of domestic elder abuse for selected types of maltreatment	4-27
4-14	Relationship of perpetrators to victims of domestic elder abuse for selected types of maltreatment	4-29
4-15	Self-Neglecting Elders -- Ability to Care for Self Physically (APS)	4-33
4-16	Self-Neglecting Elders – Confusion (APS)	4-33
4-17	Self-Neglecting Elders – Depression (APS)	4-34
4-18	Type of abuse, by age: Percentages (Sentinel)	4-35
4-19	Type of abuse, by minority status: Percentages (Sentinel)	4-36
4-20	Type of abuse, by gender: Percentages (Sentinel)	4-36
4-21	Ability to Care for Self Physically (Sentinel)	4-38
4-22	Confusion (Sentinel)	4-39
4-23	Depression (Sentinel)	4-39
4-24	Ability to Care for Self Physically by Type of Abuse (Sentinel)	4-40
4-25	Confusion by Type of Abuse (Sentinel)	4-41
4-26	Depression by Type of Abuse (Sentinel)	4-41
4-27	Relationship of alleged perpetrators of abuse for sentinel data	4-43
4-28	Characteristics of alleged perpetrators of abuse for sentinel data	4-44

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
ES-1 Iceberg theory showing NEAIS identified unreported abuse and neglect, excluding self-neglect	ES-17
2-1 Iceberg theory of elder abuse.....	2-4
3-1 States by OBE region, with participating NEAIS states in gray.....	3-8
3-2 Start and stop dates for each participating county	3-19
3-3 Duplicated and unduplicated APS and sentinel reports	3-28
4-1 Outcomes of APS investigations of domestic elder abuse, neglect, and self-neglect reports	4-5
4-2 Substantiated and unsubstantiated reports to APS/aging agencies by OBE region.....	4-6
4-3 Ages of elder abuse victims for selected types of maltreatment.....	4-13
4-4 Sex of elder abuse victims for selected types of maltreatment.....	4-17
4-5 Race/ethnicity of elder abuse victims for selected types of maltreatment.....	4-19
4-6. Sex of perpetrators of elder abuse.....	4-22
4-7 Ages of perpetrators of domestic elder abuse.....	4-24
4-8 Race/ethnicity of perpetrators of domestic elder abuse.....	4-26
4-9 Relationship of perpetrators to victims of domestic abuse.....	4-28
4-10. Sex of self-neglecting elders	4-30
4-11 Age of self-neglecting elders.....	4-31
4-12 Race/ethnicity of self-neglecting elders	4-32
5-1 Iceberg theory showing NEAIS identified unreported abuse and neglect, excluding self-neglect	5-4